02/28/2005 10:23 FAX 17349946331

BRINKS, HOFER, ET AL

2017/019

Attorney Docket No.: 10541-1776

Response to Office Action of February 11, 2005

Page 16

II. REMARKS

Claims 2, 7, 9, 12-15, 16, and 23 have been amended. Claims 1, 6, 8, 10.

and 11 have been cancelled. Accordingly, after entry of this amendment, claims

2-5, 7, 9, and 12-31 remain pending in the present application. Applicants

request entry of this Amendment.

Allowable Subject Matter

The Applicants gratefully acknowledge indication by the Examiner of

allowable subject matter in claims 7, 9, 12, 14, and 16-31. Accordingly, the

Applicants have amended claims 7, 9, 12, and 14 to place these claims into

independent form and to include all the limitation of the base claims and any

intervening claims.

Rejections Under 35 USC §112

The Examiner rejected Claims 16-31 under 35 USC §12, second

paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly

claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Accordingly, the Applicants have amended independent claims 16 and 23

to correct the antecedent basis problem and respectfully request that the

Examiner reconsider and withdraw these rejections under 35 USC §112, second

paragraph.

16

Ø018/019

02/28/2005 10:24 FAX 17349946331

Attorney Docket No.: 10541-1776

Response to Office Action of February 11, 2005

Page 17

Rejections Under 35 USC §102

The Examiner rejected Claims 1-6, 8, 10, 11, 13, and 15 under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by Krude et al. (U.S. Patent 6,1£3,419). The Applicants have amended dependant claims 7, 9, 12, and 14 to place these claims into independent form and to include the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 1, 8, 10, and 11 have been cancelled and claims 13 and 15 have been amended to depend from claim 12. In light of the indication by the Examiner of allowable subject matter in claims 7, 9, 12, and 14, the Applicants assert that claims 7, 9, 12, and 14, as amended, are allowable over Krude et al. Further, the Applicants assert that claims 2-5, 13, and 15 are allowable as depending, either directly or indirectly, from one of the allowable independent claims 7, 9, 12, and 14. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw these rejections under 35 USC §102(b).

Attorney Docket No.: 10541-1776

Response to Office Action of February 11, 2005

Page 18

Conclusion

The Applicants assert that pending Claims 10-16 are patentable. Applicants respectfully request the Examiner grant allowance of these claims. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorneys for the Applicants via telephone if such communication would expedite this application

Respectfully submitted,

Dated:_ 2-28-2005

Dan L. Thompson Registration No. 54,490

Attorney for Applicant

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. Box 10395 Chicago, IL 60610

Telephone: (734) 302-6000